

1877, and it was for
ice to explain why this
on one class, and by no
the community. As it
esent Government to
al and personal property
t the latter should con-
ing, as well as towards
nts in asylums.

t the communication be

t some of the board
and it would be better
the matter.

/to get money without

st bear some interest
ere is one point worth
t they evidently want
Hitherto it is only
n taxed for the main-

s.
d if they could get
it was their duty to do
s of the community the
seemed to him that the
do anything of the

t that the object of the
overnment to return to
vious to 1877, and to
interest. He did not see
e in agreeing with the

Harris, the circular was

E.—THE QUESTION OF
E GOVERNORS AND THE

received from the office
rding a long report of
lating to his inspection
h and 12th ult. It ap-
e 571 patients resident,
county, and 139 to the
ied out by Asylum
but, unfortunately,
rtake within any
uctural defects of an
for this reason, Lime-
Farrell states, keeping
our advancing asylums.
xcellent, but there are
heerless in the extreme.
nts had increased by 11
There were 288 male
the proper sleeping
216. There were

he sleeping accommo-
laded for 206. The subject
enting overcrowding was
ittee of the Board
cted in July last that
ation could be best pro-
new buildings at each
o plans had so far as he
as yet been submitted

. He must ask the
ke this most serious
g into consideration, so
year to pass without
eing taken to meet the
chloësis, which must be
much physical and
to the patients.
ort stated that the
y the Board of Gover-
sidered the advisability
nd. They recommended
) acres, and pointed out
y, it was likely to be a
itiation. The objections
ere, he understood, (1)
be obtained is situated

land.

Dr O'Dwyer thought if the Board of Control
did one thing at a time and went on with the
building (to relieve the over-crowding) which
would cost £7,000, it would be better, and then
the Governors could look round next year and see
if they could launch this land project. He did
not know if any committee of the Board had ever
inspected this land?

Dr O'Neill—No.
After further discussion, it was decided, on
the motion of Mr Harris, that a special meeting
of the Board be held on Tuesday next to consider
Dr O'Farrell's report.

DR O'NEILL'S GOOD SERVICE PAY.
The next business was a notice of motion of Mr
Herbert to rescind the resolution grant-
ing £100 a year good service pay to
Dr O'Neill. Mr Herbert said that from
the constitution of the Board it would be
useless for him to propose the notice of motion,
and he withdrew it, but entered his protest
against the increase, which was not, to his
mind, in accordance with the rules of 1892.

CONTRACTS.
The following contracts were declared:—Soap,
Mr Anglim, at £1 per cwt; cuttings, Mr Gaffney,
at £11 4s per ton; meal, Mr J H Roche, at £5
17s 6d.

The Board then adjourned.

REJOICINGS AT GLENSTAL.

On Thursday night all the workmen, their
wives and grown up daughters were invited by
Sir Charles Barrington, Bart, to a dance to
celebrate his engagement with Miss Bacon. The
festive gathering was presided over by the
steward, Mr R Weller, who in a brief speech pro-
posed the health of Miss Bacon, which was
enthusiastically drunk and received with ringing
cheers. The health of the generous host was
then drunk with three times three. Songs were
rendered at intervals by various members of the
company, and dancing was indulged in with great
spirit until one o'clock, when the party, which
numbered between sixty and seventy, dispersed to
their various homes, highly delighted with the
enjoyable night they had spent. Refreshments
were liberally supplied throughout the night.
It is extremely gratifying to hear of these
friendly re-unions, showing, as they do, the good
feeling existing between employer and employed.

THE LATE REV. DAVID WILSON, D.D.
TO THE EDITOR OF THE LIMERICK CHRONICLE.

43, Merrion Square East,
Dublin, 10th day of December, 1894.

SIR,—I read with great interest your obituary
notices of the Rev David Wilson, and the account
of his funeral. His praise is sung by loftier harps
than mine, but I yield to no one in the love and
esteem in which I held him for a period of in or
about fifty years. Such a character deserves a
lasting memorial from the citizens of Limerick,
as well as from his friends in Belfast, Cork,
London, and elsewhere. I have no doubt a com-
mittee will be formed in Limerick to do justice to
his public services and virtues; and it will afford
me a great though a melancholy pleasure, to join,
even in ever so slight a way, in assisting in such
a work.—I am your obedient servant,

WM. LANE-JOYNT.

ENTERTAINMENT AT RATHKEALE.
TO THE EDITOR OF THE LIMERICK CHRONICLE.

DEAR SIR—Kindly give me space for a few
lines of comment upon your laudatory notice of
the concert and theatricals held here on Friday
and Saturday evenings. In the first place it was
Miss Bessie Massy who on Friday evening sang
"The snowy breasted pearl," and she sang it as
usual with much taste, tenderness and effect.
Miss Minnie Massy sang on Saturday evening,

ring to, of course, the claim for £689 5s. Mr
Guinane was appointed arbitrator for the con-
tractors, but there appeared to be no information
as to the manner in which Mr Guinane
was appointed to act for the contractors. How-
ever, an award was made, signed by Mr Spillane
and Mr Guinane, to the effect that Messrs
O'Donnell and Scanlan be paid £399 in full for
their claim, which was defined as the claim of
£689 5s. Having fully investigated the claim,
and having heard evidence in presence of the res-
pective solicitors of the parties, the arbitrators
made that award. On 9th March the award was
affirmed by the Corporation, with the addition
that it was an understanding that
the amount be accepted by Messrs
Scanlan and O'Donnell in full discharge
of their claims, and a sum of £411 13s 4d claimed
by them on foot of the contract. On the 20th
March Mr O'Donnell attended on behalf of the
contractors, and stated they would accept the
£399. On the 27th March '88 a cheque
was signed by the Mayor and two members of the
Corporation, Mr D F M'Namara, and Mr Michael
Spain, and there was a letter from Mr O'Donnell
that the cheque would be accepted as a settle-
ment of all claims of Messrs O'Donnell
and Scanlan against the Corporation,
and that there would be no further claim against
them. Mr Drury made a surcharge against the
Mayor, Mr D F M'Namara, and Mr Spain. On
the same date an appeal was sent to the Local
Government Board by the persons surcharged,
and after some official correspondence between the
Local Government Board, Mr Drury, and the Law
Adviser, on the 21st June a sealed order was
issued affirming the surcharge of £399.
The amount was then lodged with the
City Treasurer, and nearly twelve months
after, in May 1891, the Corporation
petitioned the Local Government Board, asking
them to request the auditor to inquire again into
the claim and make such an order as would meet
the justice of the case and if necessary have a
full inquiry into the whole circumstances. In
this petition it was stated that the contractors
had never read the deed of contract. They had
been informed that it was drawn on the same
lines as previous contracts, and it never struck
them that any change would take place
during their three years of the contract.
The Local Government Board replied that having
already acted on the lines contemplated by the
statute, having heard an appeal and issued a
sealed order, the matter had passed out of their
hands, and they stated they had no power to
take further action. On the 23rd July the Cor-
poration unanimously resolved that a cheque for
£399 be signed. On the 3rd September it was
signed by 33 members of the Council. That
brought the matter down to the present date
from the original matters. There were then a
few questions the auditor said he would like to
have information on, one of which was—what was
the cost in previous years for the work?

Mr Dundon—What is the amount paid to the
previous contractor, Mr Hunt, and what did it
cost the Corporation when they had the work in
their own hands?

Mr Woulfe said he would prepare the informa-
tion on the point.

The Auditor—Did the previous articles of con-
tract contain the same clause as to the depot?

Mr Corbett—They did.

The Auditor—Was there any submission to
arbitration on the part of the contractors beyond
the fact which is in the award?

Mr Dundon—It is likely a letter was received
from the contractors appointing Mr Guinane as
their arbitrator. What appears to have been
done in regard to the matter is this. The claim
came before the Committee of the Corporation,
and they said, "We consider this is a claim that
ought be decided by arbitration." The Corpo-